

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,575	02/08/2002	Lone Jeppesen	5698.220-US	2403
75	90 09/05/2003			
Reza Green, Esq. Novo Nordisk of North America, Inc. Suite 6400			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO	
405 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10174-6401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624 DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/076,575	JEPPESEN ET AL.				
	o annua, y	Examiner	Art Unit				
ŀ	The MAILING DATE of this communication are	Tamthom N. Truong	1624				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply						
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
	Status						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ •					
	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 7, 17, 45-47, and 53-55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 7, 17, 46, 47, and 53-55</u> is/are rejected.						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45</u> is/are objected to.						
A	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) he hold in above and a second of the control of							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
The section of the se							
12) The path or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	None of:						
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/419,761</u> .						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
1	 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisio 5) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic pricehamment(s) 						
3) 🗵	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTC 5) Notice of Informal Patent 6) Other:	0-413) Paper No(s) t Application (PTO-152)				
u.S. Pate PTOL-	and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action S						

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

This is a divisional application of 09/419,761. The preliminary amendment has been entered. It is noted that the definition of X has been limited to -O-(CHR⁹)-, -O-CH₂-O-, -CH₂-O-CH₂-, which is the subject matter of Group III of the restriction presented in 09/419,761.

With claims 3-6, 8-16, 18-44, 48-52, and 56-60 cancelled, the remaining claims 1, 2, 7, 17, 45-47, and 53-55 are considered herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. New Matter: Claims 1, 2, 7, 17, 46, 47, and 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 recites the limitations of "C₁₋₇-alkyl, C₂₋₇-alkenyl, C₂₋₇-alkynyl, C₁₋₇-alkoxy", which has no support in the original disclosure. Although C₁₋₇, or C₂₋₇ falls within the range of C₁₋₁₂ disclosed in the specification, there is no preferred embodiment for selecting a narrower range. Thus, said limitations lack written description as well as enablement.

Art Unit: 1624

- 2. Lack of Written Description: Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 53 recites the term "ailments" which has no description as to what diseases would be included.
- 4. **Utility:** Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility.

A revised utility guidelines require that utilities must be specific, substantial, and credible. By specific, said guidelines call for a particular disorder or disease. In the instant case, the term "ailments" does not point to a particular disease or disorder. By substantial, said guidelines require that utilities must define a "real world" use, and must not constitute further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use. In the instant case, said claim calls for "the treatment of ailments" which is not specific and not substantial as the specification does not appear to list what diseases or disorders are covered by the term "ailments". Furthermore, many diseases included in said term have no known etiology, and/or no treatment such as schizophrenia, Huntington's, cancers, and "common cold", and thus their treatment would require extensive further research. Because applicant has not disclosed any specific and substantial utility for the claimed invention, credibility will not be assessed. See Brenner v. Manson, 148 USPQ 689, and In re Zeigler, 26 USPQ 2d 1600, 1603 (Fed. Cir. 1996).

Art Unit: 1624

Lack of Enablement: Claim 53 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

5. **Enablement:** Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is no reasonable assurance that such a diverse genus of compounds may be useful in treating a plethora of diseases. That is, there is no correlation between the alleged utility and the screening tests. *In re Fouche*, 169 USPQ 49 (CCPA 1971).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 53 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reasons apply:
 - a. The term "ailments" does not have a definite metes and bounds. The specification does not list what diseases or disorders would constitute "ailments". Said term covers many disorders that may not be related to Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/076,575

Art Unit: 1624

such as "common cold", asthma, arthritis, osteoporosis, schizophrenia, lupus, cerebral palsy, etc. Thus, it is unclear what disorders are intended.

Claim 54 recites the limitation of "conditions mediated by nuclear receptors, in b. particular the Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors (PPAR)," which is unclear for two reasons. First, "conditions mediated by" is not clear as to what is meant by the term "mediated". Would said term mean 'activated'? 'deactivated'? or 'inhibited'? It is not clear what biological pathway is intended (i.e., agonizing, or antagonizing). Second, the phrase "in particular" renders the claim indefinite because it is not clear if only PPAR is affected, or other nuclear receptors are also affected. In essence, the phrase "in particular" presents the situation of "broad limitation followed by narrow limitation" see Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989). The Board stated that "broad limitation followed by narrow limitation" can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the limitation introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

Claim Objections

7. Claim 45 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

Art Unit: 1624

any intervening claims. A search in the pertinent art does not yield any reference teaching or suggesting the claimed species.

References cited on PTO-892

8. The references listed on PTO-892 present the state of the art. While they teach the tricyclic system having -O-CH₂, -O-CH₂-O, or -CH₂-O-, they fail to teach a substituent corresponding to the claimed variable "A".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 703-305-4485. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:00-12:30) & every Saturday morning (starting from 4-7-03).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

T. Truong

September 4, 2003

ALAN L. ROTMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Olan L. Rotman